

27th March 2019

Wildlife Trade and Biosecurity Branch Department of Environment and Energy GPO Box 787, Canberra ACT 2601

Via email: AustraliaCITESCoP18@environment.gov.au

To Whom it May Concern

#### CITES CoP18 - submission from RSPCA Australia

RSPCA Australia welcomes the opportunity to provide a submission for the forthcoming CITES CoP 18 meeting in May. RSPCA Australia is concerned about the impact of wildlife trade on both animal welfare and conservation grounds. In terms of animal welfare, taking animals from the wild poses serious risks including pain, injury or distress arising from the capture, transport, handling and long-term confinement of these animals, and potential effects on the ecosystem from where they are taken. The RSPCA has several policies pertaining to wildlife, including relating to international trade, utilisation and killing of wild animals for commercial purposes (see Appendix 1).

RSPCA Australia is concerned that, despite CITES providing a forum for international agreement and collaboration, the illegal wildlife trade is left relatively unchecked and verification processes especially for destinations, require strengthening. A recent example of the latter was reported in the media questioning the authenticity of a self-proclaimed zoo and conservation agency in Germany which imports rare birds and which has been reported to authorities; (https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/dec/11/legitimate-zoo-obscure-german-group-endangered-parrots-actp?fbclid=lwAR0ojl6mDkGQtJ2m-5yr0MCrZPyXeTZ4Hg-ZV\_lk8wbzNuGSqZJbbPZZJrY ). This and no doubt other businesses, seemingly operate legitimately but without adequate investigation, it is difficult to determine if all transactions are done lawfully.

### Greater resourcing required

The global legal wildlife trade is worth billions of dollars annually. Given that considerable money is gained through the exporting and sale of wildlife, it is recommended that the CITES CoP consider applying fees for each transaction to enable upgrading and surveillance to improve data collection and analysis as well as enforcement to tackle the ever increasing cruel illegal trade.

### Comments pertaining to CITES CoP18 working documents

• Combating Wildlife Cybercrime (Docs. 33.1 and 33.2)

Given that this is a growing area for illegal traders and one which is difficult to police, it is essential that CITES authorities raise awareness amongst online sale platform providers as well as monitor and enforce laws.

RSPCA Australia

ABN 99 668 654 249 ACN 163 614 668

P 02 6282 8300 F 02 6282 8311 E rspca@rspca.org.au W rspca.org.au

PO Box 265 Deakin West ACT 2600





### • Appropriate and Acceptable Destinations (Docs. 44.1 and 44.2)

As stated previously, the RSPCA does not support the removal of animals from the wild for trade or commercial purposes but whilst this is permitted internationally, it is imperative that legal standards are fully described and mandated for destinations accepting animals under CITES. In particular, space and environmental enrichment requirements must be included as well as consideration of the social needs of specific species. With regard to elephants, RSPCA Australia urges that elephants are not traded for entertainment purposes and that trade should be restricted to within elephants' natural range and in circumstances requiring wild populations to be boosted or where in-situ conservation programs operate.

### • Closure of domestic ivory markets (Doc 69.5)

RSPCA Australia strongly supports the closure of domestic ivory markets as legal markets provide opportunities for laundering illegal ivory and undermine efforts by countries which have banned the sale of ivory.

#### Big Cats

### O Draft decisions on Asian Big Cats: India (Doc. 71.2)

RSPCA Australia supports the cessation of tiger farming due to the inherent cruelty, risk to conservation of this species and links to illegal trading. The breeding of tigers for commercial purposes should also cease.

### O African Lion: Nigeria, Niger, Togo (Doc. 76.2)

RSPCA Australia is concerned about the increasing trade (particularly illegal) in lion body parts and recognises that this draft resolution will assist in improving the protection and conservation of African Lions.

### O Jaguars: Costa Rica and Mexico, Peru (Doc. 77.1 & 77.2)

As with the increase demand for lion body parts (particularly bone products), RSPCA Australia is also concerned about illegal trading in jaguar body parts and supports initiatives to prevent such illegal trade.

The following table provides responses to the proposals which have been submitted for consideration at the CITES COP18.



## Comments on Proposals for Amendment to Appendix 1 & II

Proposal Number	Proposed	Comment
	Amendment	
CoP18 Prop 2	Transfer from App II	Support.
Saiga tatarica (Saiga	to App I	
antelope)		Saiga has been listed as Critically
απεκορεή		Endangered in the IUCN Red List since 2002
		and this species is threatened with
		extinction wand is affected by trade, as
		per Article II, paragraph 1 of the CITES
		treaty.
CoP18 Prop 5	Include in Appendix II	Support.
Giraffa Camelopardalis		
(Giraffe)		Giraffes have been listed as 'Vulnerable' in
		the IUCN Red List since 2016.
		Giraffes satisfy Criterion B in Annex 2a of
		Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP17) relating
		to Appendix II listings: " regulation of
		trade in the species is required to ensure
		that the harvest of specimens from the wild
		is not reducing the wild population to a level at which its survival might be
		threatened by continued harvesting or
		other influences."
		other imagness.
		Given the low reproductive rate and trend
		in trophy hunting giraffes, it would be
		prudent to include giraffes in Appendix I.
CoP18 Prop 6	Transfer from	Support.
Aonyx cinereus (Small-	Appendix II to I	
clawed otter)		Small-clawed otters are listed as
		'vulnerable' on the IUCN Red List due to
		population decline caused by habitat loss
		and exploitation.
CoP18 Prop 7	Transfer from	Support.
Lutrogale perspicillata	Appendix II to I	
(Smooth-coated otter)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Small-clawed otters are listed as
(Sinosti coated otter)		'vulnerable' on the IUCN Red List due to
		inferred population decline caused by
C-D40 D 0	D	habitat loss and exploitation.
CoP18 Prop 8	Remove existing	Oppose.
Ceratotherium simum	annotation for the	
simum (Caratharmanalita	population of	The current annotation to the Appendix II
(Southern white	Eswatini (currently	listing of the Eswatini population of rhinos
rhinoceros)	referred to as	allows commercial trade only in live animals
Eswatini population	population of	and hunting trophies. Removal of the
	Swaziland)	annotation would allow trade in rhino horn
		as well.



		Overall poaching levels remain high and
		allowing legal rhino horn to be sold creates opportunities for illegal laundering. Without
		substantial resources, enforcement is
		difficult and allowing rhino horn onto the
		market will erode good progress in reducing
6.040.0	T (	demand.
CoP18 Prop 9	Transfer from	Oppose.
Ceratotherium simum simum	Appendix I to	
(Southern white	Appendix II with the	Pasad on the following statement in the
rhinoceros)	following annotation: For the exclusive	Based on the following statement in the proposal that the current population is
	purpose of allowing	under threat, this proposal is not supported.
	international trade	Until poaching is controlled, this species
	in:	must remain in Appendix 1.
	a) live animals to	'Illegal killing and illegal trade in
	appropriate and	rhinoceros products constitute the greatest
	acceptable	threat to this species. Since 2012, Namibia
	destinations; and	has experienced an increase in losses of
	b) hunting trophies.	rhinoceros from illegal killing. A concerted
		effort is being made and will need to
	All other specimens	continue to be made to reverse the trend,
	shall be deemed to be	in order to sustain population growth and
	specimens of species included in Appendix I	maintain the economic, tourism, social and community value/benefits of rhinoceros.'
	and the trade in them	community value benefits of minoceros.
	shall be regulated	
	accordingly.	
CoP18 Prop 11	Amend existing	Oppose.
Loxodonta Africana	Appendix II listing	
(African elephant)	annotation to allow	Any legal market in ivory creates
		opportunities for illegal laundering. There is
	to CITES approved	concern that the trade is not adequately
	trading partners at	controlled to prevent illegal laundering
	any time in the	unless substantial resources were dedicated
CoP18 Prop 12	future.  Transfer from	to preventing this.  Conditional support/abstain.
Loxodonta Africana	Appendix II to I	Conditional support/abstain.
(African elephant)	Appendix ii to i	Due to elephants being nomadic and
( com cropilatio)		therefore crossing borders, they should be
		listed under Appendix I throughout Africa.
		RSPCA Australia understands that this
		proposal may jeopardise all elephants being
		listed under Appendix 1 and so
		consideration should be given to abstaining
		from voting on this proposal. Instead,
		discussions should focus on Document 69.5
		'Ban on domestic ivory sale', which if



		successful would greatly reduce reliance on
		the Appendix listing to protect elephants.
CoP18 Prop 32	Include in Appendix II	Support.
Ctenosaura spp. (Sping-	metade m/tppendix n	Заррога
tailed iguanas)		
CoP18 Prop 36	Transfer from	Support.
Geochelone elegans	Appendix II to	зарроги
(Star tortoise)	Appendix I	
CoP18 Prop 37	Transfer from	Support.
Malachochersus	Appendix II to	
tornieri (Pancake	Appendix I	
tortoise)		
CoP18 Prop 38	Include in Appendix II	Support.
Hyalinobatrachium		
spp., Centrolene spp.,		
Cochranella spp. &		
Sachatamia spp. (Glass		
frogs)		
CoP18 Prop 42	Include in Appendix II	Support.
Isurus oxyrinchus &		
Isurus paucus (Mako		
sharks)		
CoP18 Prop 43	Include in Appendix II	Support.
Glaucostegus spp.		
(Guitarfishes)		

In conclusion, CITES plays a critical role in protecting wildlife around the globe and parties should use the 'precautionary principle' when making decisions, as too little protection will see the further extinction of many species. This can be avoided through stronger laws, increased surveillance, better enforcement and greater penalties.

Should you require further information regarding this submission, please don't hesitate to contact me via email; <a href="mailto:devans@rspca.org.au">devans@rspca.org.au</a>

Once again, thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on these very important issues. We would greatly appreciate a copy of the Department's report to the CITES CoP18 Meeting, when available.

Kind regards

Di Evans BSc BVMS MPhil MANZCVS Senior Scientific Officer RSPCA Australia



### **APPENDIX 1**

## **RSPCA Policy E01 Wildlife - General principles**

1.6 RSPCA Australia supports the ratification by the Australian government of international treaties, conventions and agreements which serve to protect biodiversity and promote the humane treatment of wild animals.

## **RSPCA Policy E04 Utilisation of wild animals**

### 4.1 Farming of wild animals

4.1.1 RSPCA Australia is opposed to the taking of animals from the wild for the purpose of farming (defined as the breeding, rearing and slaughter of animals for commercial purposes), due to the risk of pain, injury or distress arising from the capture, transport, handling and long-term confinement of these animals, and potential effects on the ecosystem from where they are taken.

### 4.2 **Hunting of wild animals**

- 4.2.1 RSPCA Australia is opposed to the hunting of any animal for sport.
- 4.2.2 RSPCA Australia is opposed to open seasons on duck, quail, deer and other 'game' species, and to the breeding and release of animals into 'game parks' for the purpose of hunting for sport.
- 4.2.3 Where wild animals are legitimately hunted for subsistence, this must be conducted humanely and with regard for the conservation status of the species involved.

### 4.3 Killing of wild animals for commercial purposes

4.3.1 RSPCA Australia is opposed to the killing of wild animals for commercial utilisation (i.e. for food or other animal products) unless this is carried out as part of a wild animal management program that meets the criteria specified in Policy E2.

# RSPCA Policy E06 Import and export of wild animals

- 6.1 RSPCA Australia is opposed to the import or export of animals taken from the wild as the capture, transportation, confinement and acclimatisation of wild animals causes them unacceptable distress and suffering.
- 6.2 Export of captive-bred native animals should only be permitted for non-commercial purposes from a government-approved facility in Australia to an equivalent government-approved facility overseas where adequate care can be guaranteed.